

Michael J. Domino, President  
John M. Bredemeyer III, Vice-President  
Glenn Goldsmith  
A. Nicholas Krupski  
Greg Williams



Town Hall Annex  
54375 Route 25  
P.O. Box 1179  
Southold, New York 11971  
Telephone (631) 765-1892  
Fax (631) 765-6641

**BOARD OF TOWN TRUSTEES**  
**TOWN OF SOUTHDOLD**

**Minutes**

**Wednesday, July 18, 2018**

**5:30 PM**

**Present Were:** Michael J. Domino, President  
John M. Bredemeyer, Vice-President  
Glenn Goldsmith, Trustee  
A. Nicholas Krupski, Trustee  
Greg Williams, Trustee  
Elizabeth Cantrell, Senior Clerk Typist  
Damon Hagan, Assistant Town Attorney

**CALL MEETING TO ORDER**  
**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

**NEXT FIELD INSPECTION:** Tuesday, August 7, 2018 at 8:00 AM and on Wednesday,  
August 8, 2018 on Fishers Island

**NEXT TRUSTEE MEETING:** Wednesday, August 15, 2018 at 5:30 PM at the Main  
Meeting Hall

**WORK SESSIONS:** Monday, August 13, 2018 at 4:30 PM at the Town Hall Annex 2nd  
floor Board Room, and on Wednesday, August 15, 2018 at 5:00  
PM at the Main Meeting Hall

**MINUTES:** Approve Minutes of June 20, 2018

**TRUSTEE DOMINO:** Good evening, and welcome to our July 18th, 2018  
monthly meeting. At this time I would like to call the meeting  
to order and ask that you stand for the pledge.  
(Pledge of Allegiance).

**TRUSTEE DOMINO:** I would like to recognize the people on the  
dais. To my left, Trustee John Bredemeyer, Trustee Glenn  
Goldsmith, Trustee Nick Krupski and Trustee Greg Williams. To  
my right is Assistant Town attorney Damon Hagan, Senior Clerk  
Typist Elizabeth Cantrell and court stenographer Wayne Galante.  
Tonight the CAC member with us is Peter Meeker.

The agendas are located on the podium and also out in the hall.

At this time I'll announce the postponements. Postponements  
are generally because the applicant has not completed the paperwork.

On page six, we have number three Young & Young on behalf of **ROBINSON ELIODROMYTIS** requests a Wetland Permit and a Coastal Erosion Permit to construct a two-story, single-family dwelling with the first floor area to include 1,320sq.ft. of living, storage and mechanical space on a pile foundation; adjacent to the dwelling, construct a raised swimming pool and pool deck for a combined 769sq.ft. footprint with associated seaward side 4'x3.5' cantilevered platform with 3.5'x12' steps to ground, and landward side 4'x3.5' cantilevered platform with 3.5'x15' steps to ground; install a sanitary system on the landward side of the dwelling within an approximately 450sq.ft. area; install a proposed 4'x39.25' (157sq.ft.) timber stairway and walk from proposed house to driveway; install a driveway consisting of 19 cubic yards of crushed stone over an area of 1,034sq.ft.; install public water and electric; approximately 432 cubic yards of material will be excavated over an area of 1,978sq.ft. to facilitate the placement of 230 cubic yards of rock revetment over an area of 608sq.ft., and the placement of 89 cubic yards of rock armor over an area of 357sq.ft. to support the existing concrete seawall; approximately 199 cubic yards of clean sand backfill over an area of 972sq.ft. will be placed over the rock revetment to the finished grades shown on the plan; approximately 233 cubic yards of sand fill over an area of 1,254sq.ft. will be placed from the top of the rock revetment to the 5.50 contour; new native plants and rock will be placed to augment existing grasses and brush; the excavation and fill volumes are intended to be balanced and no excess material will leave the site.

Located: 600 Leeton Drive, Southold. SCTM# 1000-59-1-7 has been postponed.

And on page nine, numbers 13, J.M.O. Environmental Consulting on behalf of **CHARLES & BRENDA GRIMES** requests a Wetland Permit to construct a 15'x24' bluestone patio on sand; stepping stone paths; 4'x6' steps; a 4'x158' fixed dock utilizing "Thru-Flow" decking; a 3'x12' ramp; and a 6'x20' float secured by two (2) piles.

Located: 4145 Wells Road, Peconic. SCTM# 1000-86-2-12.6 has been postponed.

And number 14, Jeffrey Patanjo on behalf of **KAREN & CAREY FLAHERTY** requests a Wetland Permit to remove existing fixed dock and steps to beach, and replace with a proposed 4'x68' fixed dock supported with 10" diameter CCA piles; install a seasonal 30"x16' aluminum ramp; install a 6'x20' seasonal floating dock with un-treated timber decking situated in an "I" configuration and supported by two (2) 10" diameter cca piles.

Located: 1077 Bay Home Road, Southold. SCTM# 1000-56-5-39 has been postponed.

I would also like to announce at this time under Town Code chapter 275-8(c), files were officially closed seven days ago. Submission of paperwork after that date, including tonight, may result in a delay of the processing of the application.

At this time I'll entertain a motion to have our next field inspection Tuesday, August 7th, 2018, at 8:00 AM, at the Town Annex; and Wednesday, August 8, 2018, on Fishers Island.  
TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: So moved.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE DOMINO: I'll entertain a motion to hold the next Trustee meeting on Wednesday, August 15th, 2018, at 5:30, here at the main meeting hall.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: So moved.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE DOMINO: I would like a motion to hold the next work session at the Town annex board, second floor, Monday, August 13th, 2018, at 4:30, at the annex, and at 5:00 PM Wednesday, August 15th at the main meeting hall.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: So moved.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE DOMINO: At this time, I'll entertain a motion to approve the Minutes of June 20th, 2018.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: So moved.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

### **I. MONTHLY REPORT:**

The Trustees monthly report for June 2018. A check for \$9,674.50 was forwarded to the Supervisor's Office for the General Fund.

### **II. PUBLIC NOTICES:**

Public Notices are posted on the Town Clerk's Bulletin Board for review.

### **III. STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEWS:**

RESOLVED that the Board of Trustees of the Town of Southold hereby finds that the following applications more fully described in Section VII Public Hearings Section of the Trustee agenda dated Wednesday, July 18, 2018, are classified as Type II Actions pursuant to SEQRA Rules and Regulations, and are not subject to further review under SEQRA:

Franco & Claudia Purita SCTM# 1000-51-4-6

Orient Acres, LLC, c/o Eva Mallis, LLC Member SCTM# 1000-14-2-25

Nicholas & Georgia Notias SCTM# 1000-93-1-3

FHV, LLC SCTM# 1000-115-17-11

Bayshore Road, LLC SCTM# 1000-53-6-9

Cathy & Anthony Iasello SCTM# 1000-123-5-24.1

Peter & Marisa Patinella SCTM# 1000-38-6-2

Scott Kay 2001 Irrevocable Family Trust SCTM# 1000-78-5-6

Nicole Leitch SCTM# 1000-70-10-27

Ann T. Krom 1000-145-2-19

Thomas & Caryn Mazzarelli 1000-77-1-2  
Arthur Skelskie & Nan Molofsky 1000-111-14-36.8

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: So moved.  
TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Second.  
TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?  
(ALL AYES).

#### IV. RESOLUTIONS - ADMINISTRATIVE PERMITS:

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Under Roman numeral IV, Administrative Permits. In order to simplify our meetings, the Board of Trustees regularly groups together items that are deemed similar or minor in nature. Accordingly, I'll make a motion to approve as a group items one and two. They are listed as follows:

Number one, **CHARLES & BRENDA GRIMES** request an Administrative Permit for the as-built residential horticultural irrigation system installed landward of the 30' non-turf buffer area. Located: 4145 Wells Road, Peconic. SCTM# 1000-86-2-12.6

Number two, North Fork Pool Care, Inc. on behalf of **ORA & ELLIOT HEATH** request an Administrative Permit to install a hot tub placed on a 6" high concrete slab; hot tub and slab total dimensions are 94"x94".

Located: 500 Hippodrome Drive, Southold. SCTM# 1000-66-2-13

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?  
(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Item number three, North Fork Pool Care, Inc. on behalf of **MICHAEL & LISA KURTZ** requests an Administrative Permit to install an 84"x84" hot tub placed on a 6" high, 84" wide by 84" long concrete slab; and install a 2'x4' storage unit.

Located: 9905 Nassau Point Road, Cutchogue. SCTM# 1000-119-1-9.1

The Board inspected the site and because hot tubs do generate water waste that can possibly impact wetlands or denude bluffs, the Board on field inspection on last Wednesday determined this project should have a drywell.

Accordingly, I move to approve this application subject to a drywell, properly-sized drywell, to be included on the plans. That's my motion.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Motion made and seconded. All in favor?  
(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Number four, Robert Wilson on behalf of **SILVINO FAMILY TRUST** requests an Administrative Permit to install 4' high fencing running from the northeast corner of existing dwelling to east property line, then south along the property line towards the wetlands, the fence will then run along the south side of the property coming no closer than five feet landward of the landward edge of wetland vegetation to the west property line, and then run north until it reaches a point parallel with the northwest corner of the house, turn and run to

that corner of the house.

Located: 2750 Glenn Road, Southold. SCTM #1000-78-2-42

The Board of Trustees inspected this on July 11th. The Board placed flags at that time that were approximately 20 feet back from the wetland edge and in keeping with the applicant's request because the Board deemed this protection necessary, placed flags, I would move to approve this application subject to revised plans showing the flags on the survey and to approve construction and issuance of a permit after plans depicting the Trustee flags are received in the Trustee office. That's my motion.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

#### **V. APPLICATIONS FOR EXTENSIONS/TRANSFERS/ADMINISTRATIVE AMENDMENTS:**

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Roman numeral V. Again, in order to simplify our meeting, I'll make a motion to approve as a group items one through four, six, eight, ten through 12. They are listed as follows.

Number one, **MICHAEL & MARYBETH SCISCENTE** requests a Transfer of Wetland Permit #6653 from Rosaria Forchelli to Michael & Marybeth Sciscente, as issued on June 20, 2007.

Located: 405 Lake Drive, Southold. SCTM# 1000-80-3-16

Number two, **MICHAEL & MARYBETH SCISCENTE** requests a Transfer of Wetland Permit #1-6-89-80-3-16 from Henry Arbeeney to Michael & Marybeth Sciscente, as issued on March 8, 1989.

Located: 405 Lake Drive, Southold. SCTM# 1000-80-3-16

Number three, **DENNIS G. WATERS** requests a Transfer of Wetland Permit #8931 from 1625 Indian Neck Holding Corp. To Dennis G. Waters, as issued on December 14, 2016.

Located: 1625 Indian Neck Lane, Peconic. SCTM# 1000-86-5-8.1

Number four, **DENNIS G. WATERS** requests a Transfer of Wetland Permit #8231A from 1625 Indian Neck Holding Corp. To Dennis G. Waters, as issued on June 19, 2013, and Amended on February 15, 2017, and Amended again on May 16, 2018.

Located: 1625 Indian Neck Lane, Peconic. SCTM# 1000-86-5-8.1.

Number six, **STEPHEN TANAL LIVING TRUST, c/o STEPHEN TANAL, TRUSTEE** requests a Transfer of Wetland Permit #7672 and Coastal Erosion Permit #7672C from Soundview Avenue, LLC to Stephen Tanal Living Trust, c/o Stephen Tanal & Kendall Tanal, Trustees, as issued on October 19, 2011.

Located: 20845 Soundview Avenue, Southold. SCTM# 1000-51-4-14 & 15.2

Number eight, **RICHARD & LINDA WONG** request a Transfer of Wetland Permit #9051A from Ellen & Henry Santacroce to Richard & Linda Wong, as issued on July 19, 2017.

Located: 7785 Horton Lane, Southold. SCTM# 1000-54-5-49.3

Number ten, Samuels & Steelman Architects on behalf of **JAMES & KATHLEEN BLACKLEY** request an Administrative Amendment to Administrative Permit #9008A to remove existing 467sq.ft. waterside deck and construct new 562sq.ft. deck; relocate the hot tub to be installed seaward of the shed; and to remove the shed which will not be replaced.

Located: 415 Harbor Lights Drive, Southold. SCTM# 1000-71-2-4

Number eleven **JAMES & MAUREEN LEVELIS** request an Administrative Amendment to Wetland Permit #9026 for the as-built sprinkler system installed throughout the seaward side of the property; as-built fire pit with seating area; and that the previously approved outdoor shower will not be constructed.

Located: 830 Clearview Road, Southold. SCTM #1000-89-3-11.5

And number 12, En-Consultants on behalf of **DONNA BLANCHARD** requests an Administrative Amendment to Wetland Permit #9208 to install two (2) additional 8" diameter pilings to secure the floating dock in order to support chocks required to elevate float above bottom during all tide cycles.

Located: 50 Budd's Pond Road, Southold. SCTM# 1000-56-5-21

Is there a second?

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Number five, **PAUL BOCZEK** requests a Transfer of Wetland Permit #3913 from Henry Boczek to Paul Boczek, as issued on May 3, 1991.

Located: 1370 Strohson Road, Cutchogue. SCTM# 1000-103-10-28.1

The site was inspected by myself on the 16th and it was noted that the permit is for the float and the ramp, however the catwalk is not mentioned, nor do we have a permit for this.

So therefore, my motion is to approve the transfer of this permit with the stipulation that we receive new plans for the existing catwalk.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Motion has been made and seconded.

MR. HAGAN: Wait. I'm sorry, we need a size on that catwalk.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Plans depicting a 32-foot length existing catwalk

MR. HAGAN: Well, on this transfer of the permit, the catwalk is previously referenced in the permit but it didn't have the dimensions listed.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Correct.

MR. HAGAN: And the dimensions were depicted on the previous plan it was just not put therein. So on this amendment I believe the Trustees had wanted to add in the dimensions of the existing catwalk.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Yes. Well, dimensions and redrawn, because the plans also, they don't have -- they need to be new plans depicting the full catwalk with dimensions.

MR. HAGAN: I just want to make sure in the language of the transferred permit that we are going to have the dimensions of the existing catwalk added thereon.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Okay. I'll remake my motion.

MR. HAGAN: Please do.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: I'll rescind my second.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: I make a motion to approve the transfer of this application with the submission of new plans depicting the catwalk, including the dimensions of existing.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Motion made and seconded. All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Number seven, **DUANE J. PASCALE** requests a Transfer of Wetland Permit #2052 from James J. Young to Duane J. Pascale, as issued on August 28, 1985.

Located: 3520 Minnehaha Boulevard, Southold. SCTM# 1000-87-3-66.3

Trustee Williams conducted an inspection of this dock on July 17th, noting that the float was 6'x28', which exceeds what is permitted in the Town Code. Because this float exceeds, we cannot transfer it. So I make a motion to deny this application

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Second.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Discussion. Maybe with the denial we can send a communication to the owner indicating how they might bring it into compliance with their prior 6x20 permit.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: The prior permit was for more than 6x20. The original permit was for --

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Original permit in 1967, I guess this is, is 8'4"x20'9".

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: So, we would have to send communication to the owner we would allow transfer of the existing like they had in the original size? Is that what counsel would recommend?

MR. HAGAN: Transferring the permit.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Transferring the permit. They built larger, the permit that was granted was larger than the current 6x20, which was allowed at that time.

MR. HAGAN: You have the C of C that has been issued for the existing permit for the existing dock that is there.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Well, we have the C of C for the existing, for the original permit, but the dock that is there does not match the description because the dock, it's larger than what was permitted.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: So it's larger than the present code but smaller than what was on the permit.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: No, no. It's larger than both.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: 6'x28' currently as opposed to 20'x8' originally.

MR. HAGAN: Then you are transferring an as-built structure that doesn't meet the permit.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Correct.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: That's what we are saying.

MR. HAGAN: I just want to make sure. There is not an amendment. You have to apply for an as-built.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Okay. I make a motion to deny this application.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Number nine, En-Consultants on behalf of **ANDREW TORGOVE & JONI FRIEDMAN** request a Transfer of Wetland Permit #3888 from Joseph Fidjinski to Andrew Torgove & Joni Friedman, as issued on January 18, 1991.

Located: 7165 New Suffolk Road, New Suffolk. SCTM# 1000-117-5-31

The site was visited on the 16th. The Trustees recognize the dock is currently not functionable or useable and they would have to come in for a new permit for said dock. I make a motion to deny this transfer.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Motion has been made and seconded. All in favor?  
(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Number 13, Patricia Moore, Esq. on behalf of **MARIJO C. ADIMEY & VERONICA M. LUGRIS** requests an Administrative Amendment to Wetland Permit #9225 and Coastal Erosion Permit #9225C for the existing 12'x16' lower deck to be raised on piles in lieu of the deck being installed on-grade.

Located: 21515 Soundview Avenue, Southold. SCTM# 1000-135-1-5

This structure had been addressed in a prior public hearing and we approved it as such. I'll make a motion to deny this application.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Motion made and seconded. All in favor?  
(ALL AYES).

## **VI. MOORINGS/STAKE & PULLEY SYSTEMS:**

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Under Roman numeral VI Moorings, Stake and Pulley systems. Again, in order to simplify our meeting, I'll make a motion to approve as a group items one through three. They are listed as follows:

Number one, **MONCIA SCHNEE** requests a Mooring Permit for a mooring in Town Creek for a 27' sailboat, replacing mooring #970. Access: Public

Number two, **ANNA PIZARRO** requests an On-Shore/Off-Shore Stake & Pulley System Permit in Narrow River for a 12' sailboat, replacing stake #S9. Access: Public

Number three, **CYNTHIA SPREEMAN** requests an On-Shore/Off-Shore Stake & Pulley System Permit in Jockey Creek for an 18' motor boat on private property.

Located: 465 Private Road #3, Southold. Access: Private

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Second the motion.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?  
(ALL AYES).

## **VII. PUBLIC HEARINGS:**

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Under Roman numeral VII, public hearings. At this time I'll take a motion to go off our regular meeting again and enter into our public hearings.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: So moved.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?  
(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE DOMINO: This is a public hearing in the matter of the following applications for permits under the Wetlands Ordinance of the Town of Southold. I have an affidavit of publication from the Suffolk Times. Pertinent correspondence may be read prior to asking for comments from the public.

Please keep your comments brief and cogent and five minutes or less if possible.



**WETLAND & COASTAL EROSION PERMITS:**

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Number one, under Wetland and Coastal Erosion Permits, Costello Marine Contracting on behalf of **FRANCO & CLAUDIA PURITA** request a Wetland Permit and a Coastal Erosion Permit to completely remove the remains of 95' of existing collapsed bulkhead; construct 95' of new bulkhead using sheet steel sheathing in-place and raising the top elevation +2.5' to match top elevation of adjacent west bulkhead; completely remove remains of existing collapsed bluff stairway; construct two (2) 95' long retaining walls across face of the bluff for terracing purposes; regrade face of bluff; contour top edge of bluff and construct berm at top edge; install jute matting on face surface of bluff; revegetate bluff and berm using native plantings; and construct new set of bluff stairs consisting of a 4'x5' top platform, to 3' wide stairs down to a 4'x4' middle platform to 3' wide stairs down to a 4'x4' middle platform to 3' wide stairs down to a 4'x8' lower platform with 3' wide stairs down to toe of bluff. Located: 19995 Soundview Avenue, Southold. SCTM# 1000-51-4-6.

The Trustees did a field inspection on July 11th and the notes are: Straightforward replacement. Slope seems very steep. Question the advisability of regrading the top of the bluff and berm. Trustees noted a sump pump out from the basement.

Also question where the access will be. Will the access be from the water or from the landward side, and questioned the non-turf buffer between the bluff and the house.

The LWRP coordinator found this to be consistent.

The Conservation Advisory Council on July 11th resolved to support the application with the condition of a ten-foot non-turf vegetated buffer and engineered plan for the stairs.

Is there anyone here to speak to this application?

MR. COSTELLO: Yes. My name is John A. Costello with Costello Marine Contractors. We are the agents for the Purita's, here to answer any questions that the Board has and if the Board has visited the site, they'll see the shambles that exist at that site, unfortunately. And they are having a delay on it, this has been going on since Sandy, and it's economics, and they are trying to come up and make applications for some assistance with the banks, and I said you have to keep going with the permits as soon as you can because that is a major part of the project, you couldn't even get a loan without getting the permits in filed and having designs so you can get it done. And as you know, you saw the steepness of the first section of the cliff is dangerously steep. And that was what, the terracing, the retaining walls, the two intermediate terracing retaining walls are major terracing devices to bring up the elevation. They'll be a reasonably good quantity of fill necessary to come in, because all the fill they have lost so far is from the top edge to their first 20-foot of drop in elevation. As you saw that the easterly property owner did similar structures and it is working quite well so far for the last couple years. That's why we are going to try to elevate the bulkhead by two-and-a-half feet. The amount of quantity of water that is going over top on severe weather has collapsed the lower walls on major portions of this whole area, from the weight of the water. We have to get clean sand down behind the first

bulkhead. You've got to reduce some of the weight of that clay in the banks. And that's the problem with all the area up there. The water plus clay, they are all vulnerable, and they have been, and we have to try to bring in as much of the sand for the bottom area, and then build it up from each one of the terracing retaining walls. Then we have to tip, I'm suggesting that they tip the top edge, bring the material back inboard and berm it up, so inboard of where it is now, so that you don't let the existing rainwater and roof runoff go back over the cliff. And that is, I'm hopeful that he'll probably put a drywell in on the house to try to keep as much of the rainwater upward, because the whole cliff there and his driveway and everything runs down to the road. Let it go that way instead of over the cliff where it's going now. If there are any questions from the Board.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: About the question of access. How will you bring the sand in?

MR. COSTELLO: The majority of all the materials are coming in by barge. We'll dive on all the rocks, locate them, and bring a barge, and bring the material in at high tide and unload it in one area when we are ready install it. Once the lower wall is installed, and then we'll probably access the rest through the west side of his house. It's only going to be a small access, but it will be for small dump trucks to bring in fill.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Last question about the non-turf buffer. I know there is not much room between where you intend to berm and the house, so can we make that a non-turf?

MR. COSTELLO: Up on the top?

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Yes.

MR. COSTELLO: After we berm it, it will be, whatever the Board decides, I mean, it will be bermed, and it has to be planted and vegetated to try to encourage the water to stay inboard instead of going over the cliff. That's all. And that could be whatever buffer you need up there, except for the access to the platform and stairway.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Okay, anyone else wish to address this application?

(Negative response).

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Questions from the Board?

(Negative response).

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Hearing none, I'll make a motion to close this hearing.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE DOMINO: I'll make a motion to approve this application noting that it will be accessed by barge and there will be a ten-foot non-turf buffer landward of the berm established at the top of the bluff.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

MR. COSTELLO: Thank you

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: The next application, number two, Patricia Moore, Esq. on behalf of **ORIENT ACRES, LLC, c/o EVA MALLIS, LLC MEMBER** requests a Wetland Permit and a Coastal Erosion Permit for the existing 3'x54' wood walk from house to deck; existing 16'x16' wood deck at top of bluff with existing 4'x2' beach stairs down to a 6'x12' lower wood landing to 3'x8' stairs to beach. Located: 32625 Main Road, Orient. SCTM# 1000-14-2-25

The Board has requested this application with a set of plans as a return from a previous inspection so that the entirety of the construction, the deck and the stairs, is brought into compliance.

It is deemed to be inconsistent under LWRP because it was pre-existing permitting for the Trustees.

The Conservation Advisory Council has advised that the stairs on the beach at the base be retractable.

And going back to the LWRP, the coordinator did recommend a vegetated non-turf buffer to further policy six to reduce the turf area.

Is there anyone here who wishes to speak on behalf of this application?

MS. MOORE: Yes, good evening, Patricia Moore on behalf of the applicant. These structures were constructed by the Mallis' father, who has since passed away. They are vintage 1980s. I have Eva's husband here and I asked how long have they been married. And he said he has been happily married 18 years but he's actually been married 33 years. That's his joke, not mine. Thought you might enjoy it. Anyway, for the younger, wedded bliss people.

The property is already heavily vegetated at the top of the bank. It's showing on the plans the brush that is natural brush that has been retained. The retractable stairs -- the stairs are fine right now. Maybe when they are replaced we can certainly make them retractable. Is that a problem? So you can take them out in the winter. It just keeps them safer.

They are fine. They are solid stairs now, so we don't see any need to replace them.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: I read it into the record as a recommendation. We can discuss it.

MS. MOORE: Okay. They have been there since the '80's, so apparently this property is well protected. I guess the angle and the storms. So, it's pretty straightforward in that it's all pre-existing. The property, as I said, is well vegetated at the top of the bank. It's not a high bluff. It's a relatively low bank.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Thank you. Would it be possible to just discontinue mowing at the break of the bank, in other words without formalizing a non-turf area? It is very well vegetated. I am familiar with the site. I have been to the site several times. Is that a possibility, just so you don't mow up to the break in the bank, in other words allow natural grasses to deepen and strengthen their roots

right where the bluff begins.

MS. MOORE: Any problems with that? Why don't you come up on the record. He is transcribing this. These are practical questions.

MR. FISCHER: Ron Fischer, I'm Eva's husband. There is a bulkhead at the top of the bluff and so the vegetation grows into the bulkhead, heavy stones, and then the lawn is right off of that.

So we are already cutting sort of right to the edge, right where the rock area is, and then the vegetation grows up next to that.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: It's just a matter of the bluff form before you get to the bulkhead, in other words if it gets scalped with the erosion. We are just concerned if you leave a margin there that would just naturalize of slightly longer grass. It's to protect the bluff, between the deer running around it and other issues we have, and of course northeast facing, it's just a small protection for the bluff without formalizing it, because it's so well vegetated, it's one of the few areas that are stable.

MS. MOORE: So no weed-whacking. If you don't weed-whack.

MR. FISCHER: Yes.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Okay, I think it's pretty straightforward.

Is there anyone else who wish to speak to this application?

(Negative response).

Seeing no one, I make a motion to close the hearing.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: I'll make a motion to approve this application subject to future replacement of the stairs on the beach being retractable stairs. That's my motion.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

#### **WETLAND PERMITS:**

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Under Wetland Permits, number one, **ALAN A. CARDINALE** requests a Wetland Permit to construct a communal dock serving Lots 1.5, 1.7, 1.8 & 1.9 consisting of a 4' wide wooden ramp at landward end connecting to a 4'x34' fixed wooden dock with a 4'x40' fixed "L" section; two 3'x14' adjustable ramps off of either end of 40' fixed dock section; two 6'x20' floating docks situated in an "I" configuration with two (2) 8" diameter float securing piles for each float; two (2) 8" diameter tie-off piles centered between the two floating docks; and two sets of two (2) 8" diameter tie-off piles situated approximately 13' away from each floating dock.

Located: 570 Private Road #28, Mattituck. SCTM# 1000-122-3-1.5

The LWRP found this to be inconsistent. The inconsistencies were: Dock standards pursuant to Chapter 275-11 are met. The applicant has not demonstrated that the dock structure with a vessel moored would not extend into navigable waters and impair navigability. The one-third rule assessment must include a representative vessel. The number of boats proposed to be moored

has not been discussed. The dock is proposed to serve five lots. The maximum number of vessels that could be moored to the dock at any given time has not been provided. And private dock structure is extending into public trust lands and waters, obstructs public use of waters and bottom lands.

The Conservation Advisory Council resolved to not support this application. The Conservation Advisory Council does not support this application and questions the number of docks allowed and which parcels have the right to use the proposed docking facility.

The Trustees conducted numerous field inspections to this location, most recently on June 13th, as well as discussing it inhouse, noting that lot five is a commercial lot and should be excluded from the communal dock, and lots seven, eight and nine can share the dock.

Is there anyone here who wishes to speak regarding this application?

(No response).

Any questions or comments from the Board?

TRUSTEE DOMINO: I just want to re-affirm that lot 1.5 is a commercial lot and it should not be given rights to the dock.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Okay.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Also, maybe further discussion for the record, the relevant history was the Board of Town Trustees and previously prior boards had made recommendations to the Town Planning Board concerning the allowance for individual recreational docks associated with lots in this subdivision so the Board had essentially created an action on the part of the Planning Board in developing a land use plan which has been in play for many, many years, I think upwards of 14 or more years, such that the applicant was honoring and proceeding with the application, was honoring the prior boards' wishes in communication with the Planning Board, and it was understood that it would be strictly for recreational vessels of upland owners.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Any other questions or comments?

(Negative response).

Hearing none, I'll make a motion to close this hearing.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: I make a motion to approve this application with the condition that lot number 1.5, the commercial lot, be excluded. And also that any vessel moored cannot extend more than one-third of the width of the creek.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Can you clarify, that's excluded from the dock.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Excluded from the dock. And that would bring it into consistency with the LWRP.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Motion made and seconded. All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Gerard Stankewicz on behalf of **FHV, LLC**

requests a Wetland Permit for the existing 36'x24.3' two-story dwelling with existing front 7.0'x10.3' step landing; on seaward side of dwelling, an 8'x7.2' frame deck with 4.0' wide by 6.8' long attached stairs; and a 4.0'x3.2' frame stoop with attached 3.2'x6.7' steps to ground; remove and replace existing walkway; install an irrigation system; resurface existing driveway; and replace plants on west and south sides of dwelling.

Located: 3400 Deep Hole Drive, Mattituck; SCTM# 1000-115-17-11

The LWRP found this to be inconsistent citing the following reasons. The as-built structures were constructed without Southold Town Board of Trustees approval. In the event the action is approved, require the setbacks to the regulated feature to be clarified, and verify the action complies with Chapter 236 storm water management. The establishment of a vegetated non-turf buffer landward of the wetlands that includes existing vegetation is recommended to further policy six.

The Conservation Advisory Council resolved to support this application.

On the 11th, the Trustees visited this location, and the notes state that there should be a buffer 23 feet seaward of small deck. Okay to remove concrete walkway. Gutters to leaders to drywells. And to be landward of the house, and French drain for the driveway.

Okay, is there condition here that wishes to speak regarding this application? (Negative response).

Any other comments from the Board?

(Negative response).

Based off our field notes, I make a motion to close this hearing.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: I make a motion to approve this application with the following amendments, which will bring it into consistency. Number one, the turf lawn and irrigation shall not be further than 30 feet from the rear of the home. A French drain will be installed at the end, at the seaward most end of the driveway. Gutters to leaders to drywells for the house will be installed. And everything past the 30-foot mark of the house seaward will be a non-disturbance area. Also, by granting the approval of this will bring it into consistency, addressing the issue with the non-permitting. That is my motion.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Number three, En-Consultants on behalf of **SCOTT KAY 2001 IRREVOCABLE FAMILY TRUST** requests a Wetland Permit to remove and replace in-place approximately 113 linear feet of existing timber bulkhead with vinyl bulkhead; construct new ±6' northerly vinyl return; construct approximately 34 linear feet of vinyl retaining wall landward of bulkhead to create a 9'x16' step-down platform with 3'x3' steps; and dredge a 10' x +/-93' area adjacent to bulkhead to a maximum depth of -3' Mean Low Water, using approximately 25 cubic yards resultant spoil as backfill.

Located: 6280 Main Bayview Road, Southold. SCTM# 1000-78-5-6

On July 11th, the Trustees inspected the location. All Trustees were present. Field notes note that the project looks straightforward. Questioning a non-turf buffer. Questioning, one, will there be a drain on the patio, if the patio were to remain. If the patio is to be replaced after the bulkhead is installed, would it be pervious material.

The LWRP found this project to be consistent.

The Conservation Advisory Council supports the application with a ten-foot non-turf vegetated buffer.

Is there anybody here that wishes to speak to this application?

MR. HERRMANN: Yes. Rob Herrmann of En-Consultants on behalf of the applicant. I'm glad you brought up the issue of the patio because I realize we did not speak to the patio in the plan, and I should have. If possible, I would say we would like to just amend the plan to show, I think it's just an on-grade stone patio. Do you guys have any pictures of, any close-up?

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: It was quite a tip to the water and little doggies running around, so we had some concerns.

MR. HERRMANN: Yes. So I would like to say we would like to show the patio to basically be removed and replaced in-kind and in-place as needed because when they put the bulkhead in they'll have to take that out. And I would say that the ten-foot non-turf buffer would be agreeable except I wonder if in the 31-foot section of bulkhead that immediately fronts the house and patio that could be reduced to five feet, just because it's pretty tight between the house and that section of bulkhead. And I see, I have a typo in my label. Maybe it's a wordo. On the plan view I show a proposed 34 linear foot timber retaining wall, but Greg was correct as he read the project description, it's actually, that will also be a vinyl sheath retaining wall. Same material that the bulkhead will be made of. So I'll have to give you revised plans correcting the timber to vinyl for the retaining wall, showing a non-turf buffer, hopefully the way I just described it would be acceptable, and making some sort of notation with respect to the patio. I don't know what I would suggest in terms of the drainage for it. Unless we can propose it to be sort of a loose stone paver so that they didn't have masonry joints between the pavers, or something like that, or if it was going to be completely masonry, have maybe some sort of gravel toe drain or something right next to it. I'm not sure what your pleasure would be on that.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Would you be open minded to a French drain?

MR. HERRMANN: Yes. That's what I mean, have some sort of gravel drain to line the side of the patio. I don't know, it's a pretty small area, I don't know how much runoff will really be generated from that, but maybe if it had a gravel drain around it then the water can just go and percolate naturally. I don't really see it warranting a drywell. It's not very big. But to either have it somehow be a semi-pervious surface or have like a gravel drain around it, which I assume, Greg, is what you mean by a French drain.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Yes. Typically a French drain does go to a drywell.

MR. HERRMANN: Right.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Incorporate, if the non-turf was not put into turf but into a gravel based or French, in other words, French drain and non-turf can be one and the same.

MR. HERRMANN: That's a good point, Jay.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Again, just with the -- witnessing the amount of dog droppings there and the rain, coming and washing it right into the creek, it would be best to try and mitigate that.

MR. HERRMANN: I agree. So we would have to come up, so the revised Plan would have the three items and we would have to address that in some way to provide something to handle the drainage from the patio. I think that's fine.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Is there anyone else that wishes to speak to the application?

(Negative response).

Does the Board have any comments?

(Negative response).

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: At this point I'll make a motion to close the public hearing.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: I would like to make a motion to approve the application with the following stipulations: The patio can be replaced with like kind with the addition of an approved drainage plan. In addition, we would like to see a ten-foot non-turf buffer away from the house and a five-foot non-turf buffer closer to the house, with new plans. And as per Trustee Domino, we would like to see the patio be permeable.

MR. HERRMANN: Wait a second. We just switched gears there.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: The discussion was either permeable or drainage around the patio. Right? So that's --

TRUSTEE DOMINO: I'm asking for both.

MR. HERRMANN: Wouldn't we want that to be part of the public hearing discussion?

TRUSTEE DOMINO: If it's solid masonry surface, it makes it that much more difficult to deal with the water.

MR. HAGAN: This is a public hearing conversation.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Not a motion conversation. It's up to you.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Make the motion as you wish.

MR. HAGAN: Can you repeat the motion, please?

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Yes. Bear with me. I make a motion to approve the application with the following stipulations: The patio to have an approved drainage plan. And to show an appropriate non-turf buffer on new submitted plans.

Hold on a second.

MR. HAGAN: Is it my understanding there will be a withdrawal of the motion currently pending and there will be a new motion made by Trustee Williams?

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: That is correct.

MR. HAGAN: Okay. Thank you.

MR. HERRMANN: Damon, if what we just discussed and agreed to is now going to be changed in a motion, don't we have an opportunity to continue discussing it in a public hearing?

MR. HAGAN: I would think so, yes.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: I'm prepared to remake the motion. I



apologize. I make a motion to approve the application --

MR. HAGAN: I'm just going to stop a second for the sake of clarity on the record. There was discussion in the public hearing portion, then the public hearing was closed. There is no further discussion on that. So you are going to be making a motion now to approve subject to whatever conditions you wish for the Board to hear.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Yes.

MR. HAGAN: We can't introduce new information or anything.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Yes

MR. HAGAN: Okay.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: I make a motion to approve the application subject to new plans depicting the patio with a drainage plan and a ten-foot non-turf buffer reducing down to a five-foot non-turf buffer nearest the house, as discussed. That's my motion.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Motion has been made and seconded. All in favor? (Trustee Bredemeyer, aye. Trustee Goldsmith, aye. Trustee Krupski, aye. Trustee Williams, aye. Trustee Domino, nay).

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Let the record reflect Trustee Domino votes no.

Number four, Suffolk Environmental Consulting on behalf of **NICHOLAS & GEORGIA NOTIAS** requests a Wetland Permit to demolish existing 1,259sq.ft. two-story dwelling with 526sq.ft. garage, 469sq.ft. porch, 1,002sq.ft. patio, and 17sq.ft. patio; construct a 4,919sq.ft. two-story dwelling; construct a 295sq.ft. seaward side porch; construct a 900sq.ft. pool on seaward side of dwelling; construct a 2,234sq.ft. covered terrace and open terrace between dwelling and pool; abandon existing sanitary system and install a new septic system; and install a system of gutters to leaders to drywells, and in accordance to Chapter 236 of the Town Code Stormwater Management. Located: 450 Paradise Point Road, Southold. SCTM# 1000-93-1-3

The Trustees did their most recent field inspection of this site on July 11th, 2018. Field notes say: So much property, it's a shame the house is not proposed well landward of the wetlands. Also, should take action to save trees.

The LWRP coordinator found this to be inconsistent. The inconsistency arises from the fact that it was unclear why the setback was measured from the highwater mark, the beaches to wetland. He's stating this because he's attempting to address 4.1, which is to minimize loss of human life and structures from flooding and erosion hazards. Also points out in the event the action is approved, it is recommended that a vegetated non-turf buffer be required landward of the beach. Item two, that they retain mature trees on the property to the greatest extent practicable. And three, consider requiring an innovative alternative waste water treatment.

The Conservation Advisory Council on July 11th resolved to support this application without any conditions.

Is there anyone here to speak to this application?

MR. ANDERSON: Bruce Anderson, Suffolk Environmental Consulting,

for the applicant Notias.

First, the important note here is that the wetland boundary is the highwater mark, and that the nearest structure, which is the corner of the proposed pool, is 129.1 feet from the highwater line. Another important note to make is that the existing patio, which will be removed is shown or depicted in that photo, is 95 feet from the wetland boundary.

The next thing that is worth noting in relation to the flood hazard is that all of the proposed construction falls within an "X" zone, which is a 500 flood plain. So the concerns relative to flooding in this case are not based. In fact there are no design considerations for a house located in the "X" zone. As I said before, the entirety of the house is in that "X" zone. The third thing worth noting is the real reason why we are here is because the wetland law regulates these types of activities within 100 feet of the beach. So in this case, the landward, the edge of the pool, is actually located 95 feet from the landward edge of the beach. And that's why we are here today. And also the patio that would be removed would be located 80 feet from the beach. So that's really why we are here. I did think the tree issue would come up, and by my count, as proposed, we would have 13 trees would be removed, and another eleven would be retained. The eleven are the trees that grow along the side lot line. And the couple of trees that would be in front of the pool. So our intention is to minimize tree loss. And I called the client and had a nice conversation, he seems like a very sincere fellow, and I explained that could come up, and whether or not he would consider relocating even further back from the water. And his response was that he is elderly, and as it is it's a long walk for him to get out to the dock as it is. And that he did recognize that the lot could use more trees. So he suggested that I inform the Board we would be happy to plant trees, oak trees, elsewhere on the property, but he would like to keep the house where it is proposed. And it does, frankly, exceed all the setbacks as per code. So I would offer that and come back with some sort of a plan for the planting of the eleven oak trees that would come down. We thought that would maybe be a good solution.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Are you prepared to give us a planting plan tonight?

MR. ANDERSON: I have not as yet. I wanted to get your reaction. We spoke about this in the last several days. It was sort of an afterthought when I went back and said, you know, it's a shame, these are mature red oaks. You want to try to keep as many as you can. And I think they are too large to be translated, to be honest with you. But I think that, so I did mention it to him, and he was certainly agreeable to doing that. So I would be prepared to put together some plan and submit it to the Board, if the Board is so inclined to review it.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: What's the pleasure of the Board?

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: I personally like that idea. I think some of us, it's what some of us were thinking already.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: From my way of thinking, it's rather a shame that the property landward of the house as presently exists was cleared, as can you see from this photograph, and that you have a straight run driveway that looks like in fact that could be used as a landing strip for small aircraft. You have the opportunity to leave trees to have the driveway move around them. It could have been very picturesque. That's all water under the bridge. So I encourage you to plant as many trees as possible, again, that would be landward of the proposed garage.

MR. ANDERSON: I agree. Do you want to see a plan or do you simply want to make it a condition that the trees be replaced elsewhere on the property?

TRUSTEE DOMINO: I agree with you, I don't believe you can transplant trees. They are just too large. So you'll have to replant, and I would like to see, if this is approved, approved subject to submission of a planting plan.

MR. ANDERSON: Okay.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: With at minimum as many trees as are removed, and also native local species.

MR. ANDERSON: I would put in red oak. That's what is coming out. And they are available.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: And of significant caliper. I don't want to put in trees that grow in a small bucket, you know.

MR. ANDERSON: Right.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: I want to discuss the non-turf buffer suggested.

MR. ANDERSON: Well, you already have one, and what I would say is that that buffer that exists today, is approximately 60 feet, 50 feet, and I would say that there be no further clearing on the survey and say, to show depict an edge of clearing. And I would think you would want to retain all of that as a buffer. It is sort of a naturalized area as it stands today.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: I would ask you to consider an additional condition that there be ten-foot non-turf buffer landward of the limit of clearing lines shown on plans received June 5th, 2018, and that the area seaward of that line be designated as a non-disturbance zone.

MR. ANDERSON: So you mean the area between the limit of clearing grading ground disturbance and the edge of clearing, to increase that, is that what you are speaking of?

TRUSTEE DOMINO: (Perusing).

MR. ANDERSON: May I approach?

TRUSTEE DOMINO: You may.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Essentially, approximating the zone, zone "X".

TRUSTEE DOMINO: This is the zone "X" line.

MR. ANDERSON: This area right here. Correct. So this is, this should all stay, in my opinion.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Non-disturbance, okay.

MR. ANDERSON: So let's be clear. That area you want is non-turf; is that correct?

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Ten-foot non-turf landward of the zone "X" line.

MR. ANDERSON: Would it make sense just to let it go foul? Fouler, rather? It's ten feet. I assume what is in that naturalized area will just come back.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: It will come back. It's mostly Baccharus at this point.

MR. ANDERSON: There is a Baccharus and beach grass. So does that make sense.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: It is a mix. It makes sense from an environmental standpoint to repopulate it with all native.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Still designated as a non-turf buffer and let it go foul.

Any other questions or comments from the Board?

(Negative response).

Anyone else wish to speak to this application?

(Negative response).

Hearing no comments, I make a motion to close this hearing.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE DOMINO: I make a motion to approve this application as submitted, with the following stipulations: That there be a ten-foot non-turf buffer landward of the zone "X" line labeled on plans submitted June 5th, 2018. That the area seaward of that line be designated as a non-disturbance zone. That we receive a planting plan of trees equivalent to the number of trees removed, and of two-and-a-half inch caliper size. That's my motion.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, very much.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: The next application, number five, Frederick Weber, Architect on behalf of **NICOLE LEITCH** requests a Wetland Permit to demolish and remove existing one-story, 2,328sq.ft. single-family dwelling with 656sq.ft. deck; construct new two-story, single-family dwelling (1st flr. 1,785sq.ft. & 2nd flr. 1,175sq.ft.) with attached 358sq.ft. garage; 385sq.ft. wrap-around porch; 170sq.ft. screened porch; and 685sq.ft. stone patio; abandon existing sanitary and install new sanitary system landward of dwelling; and install gutters to leaders to drywells to contain roof runoff, and in accordance to Chapter 236 of the Town Code Stormwater Management.

Located: 1950 Clearview Avenue, Southold. SCTM# 1000-70-10-27

The Trustees visited the site on July 11th, considering the project straightforward and very much within the bounds of the existing house. The Board acknowledges that we are in communications with the county Health Department and would like to see sites like this have an IA, but at this point in time we did not work out the provisions of how the town would adopt innovative alternative sewage in the town.

The LWRP coordinator has determined this project is consistent with the LWRP.

The Conservation Advisory Council supported the application with a request for a ten-foot non-turf vegetated buffer landward of the wetland boundary. Is there anyone here who wishes to speak on behalf of this application?

MR. WEBER: Yes. My name is Fred Weber, I'm the architect for the applicant. You've summarized a lot of things, so maybe I'll be talking too much about things you have already spoken about.

But basically the existing house is not that workable for reuse. It's one story. It's too low to adequately protect for flooding. It's partially on a slab. It extends over the required zoning side yards and has low ceilings. So that's the reason we are heading toward a new house. We are trying to keep it in the basic footprint. We are moving it back as tight as we can to the front yard setback. We will be raising the new house about two-and-a-half feet. The major wetland line is really 120 feet, although it does get to about 69 feet on the side, and we are trying to maintain that, we are maintaining that dimension. Like I said, it's a new sanitary system, drainage, and there actually almost exists a self-imposed buffer zone there. I kind of measured things out to see where everything was, and it's about a ten-foot line back.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: It's pre-existing phragmites and growth --

MR. WEBER: It's things that the owner has planted. It appears to me.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Some plantings.

MR. WEBER: Some plantings. Yes, correct.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: It's very broad, it's just a walk down to the wetland. Thank you. Is there anyone else here who wishes to speak to this application or about this?

(Negative response).

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: No, it's straightforward

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: The applicant could also come in to request a ten-year maintenance permit under a separate permit for the removal of the phragmites, and encouraging the Baccharus and other high tide bush in that location, since a number of the properties along Goose Bay and that location are getting quite a bit of phragmites.

Hearing no one else, seeing no one else speaking on this application, I'll make a motion to close the hearing.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: I'll make a motion to approve this application as submitted.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Number six, **CHERYL L. HANSEN REVOCABLE TRUST, c/o CHERYL HANSEN** requests a Wetland Permit to construct a 2,400sq.ft. two-story, single-family dwelling; new sanitary system; and proposed driveway. Located: 405 Williamsberg Road, Southold. SCTM# 1000-78-5-17

The LWRP found this to be consistent.

The Conservation Advisory Council resolved to support this application.

The Trustees conducted a field inspection on July 11th, and notes at that time, requesting why the wooden ramp that was shown on the survey, and the survey was too old. However we got a new survey as of July 18th, 2018, from Peconic Surveyors that no longer shows a wooden ramp. Is there anyone here who wishes to speak regarding this application?

MS HANSEN: Good evening, I'm Cheryl Hansen. I was hoping everything is self-explanatory. We did get a new survey that we needed and I dropped it by the office this morning, so it shows the ramp is no longer there. It was taken away when we redid the bulkhead a number of years ago.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Anyone else here wishing to speak regarding this application?

(Negative response).

Any questions or comments from the Board?

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: No, it seemed pretty straightforward to me.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Just one question. Is there a non-turf buffer currently?

MS. HANSEN: No, it was put, when we redid the bulkhead, maybe four or five years ago, we put a new bulkhead in there. Then there was a non-turf buffer put in.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: It matches up to the neighbor.

MS. HANSEN: Yes. We own the house next to it, so it was all done at the same time, so that the new turf was put in when the old bulkhead was replaced with the new one.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Thank you. Any other questions or comments?

(Negative response).

Hearing none, I make a motion to close this hearing.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: I make a motion to approve this application with the condition of gutters to leaders to drywell to contain roof runoff.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

MS. HANSEN: Thank you, very much.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Number seven, Jeffrey Patanjo on behalf of **ANN T. KROM** requests a Wetland Permit to remove existing cement bulkhead and replace with 97 linear feet of new vinyl bulkhead in-place with one 35 linear foot bulkhead return and one 6 linear foot bulkhead return; raise existing height to 42" above existing top cap elevation to match neighboring bulkhead to west; install 225 cubic yards of clean sand fill from upland sources behind bulkhead to replenish sand lost during storms; install 4' wide by 10' long platform and stairs to beach supported with 3-10" diameter CCA piles; install and perpetually

maintain a 10' wide non-turf buffer along the landward edge of the bulkhead.

Located: 1296 Great Peconic Bay Boulevard, Laurel. SCTM# 1000-145-2-19

The Trustees have visited this site several times, the most recent being July 11th. All Trustees were present. Field notes we have is bulkhead back landward ten-feet from existing concrete. Stone armoring in front of bulkhead. And recommend waiting for DEC approval.

In addition, the LWRP coordinator found this to be inconsistent. It is recommended that the bulkhead be re-aligned to coincide with the angle of the mean highwater line to improve public access along the foreshore, and a vegetated buffer comprised of native salt-tolerant plants should be required landward of the bulkhead.

The Conservation Advisory Council resolved to support this application.

Is there anybody here that wishes to speak to this application?

MR. PATANJO: Jeff Patanjo, on behalf of the applicant. I actually made, again, following up what was mentioned before, and at last month's public hearing with other options that the DEC had requested in lieu of a proposed bulkhead. They were not in favor of the bulkhead in the location, they asked for some softer means. Last night I actually made some plan revisions so it's a little tardy, but I would like to present those to you today with a revised project description that shows proposed rip rap along the line of -- You don't need project descriptions.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: No.

MR. PATANJO: I'll give those to you. The proposed rip rap will follow along the line of the erosion of the existing eroded bluff, or bank area, as we would call it. It's going to be four to five-ton boulders at the bottom, with the upper stone courses two to three-tons, and it will be all of the entire armor and stone will be beyond the high tide line, and we'll maintain and hold a ten-foot wide non-turf buffer from that revised line. So if you look in the field, the line of existing erosion, that is where at the top, at the bottom of that line of erosion is where the wall will be, following it in a smooth manner. And as mentioned in the other hearing, I do have and I started and you'll see next month, the applications for the two neighboring properties, and we'll do the same exact thing on those properties.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: What do you plan to do to the property, or where you join up with the property to the west?

MR. PATANJO: To the west, we'll tie right into the bulkhead. They have that concrete wall.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: So you'll kind of meet it, I guess?

MR. PATANJO: Yes. Right at the corner. We'll tie it to the corner, put the filter fabric up against the corner, we'll put a cluster in series of rocks there, smaller stones with the larger stones to create a decent-sized wall. So there will be no erosion there. And as you see on the heavy line on the plans it's really a continuation of that wall. And ultimately, and I can

give you an overall plan of all of those properties. But this wall here with the heavy line along the line of erosion is going to follow its way all the way up to the bulkhead that sticks out to the east. So we'll create one solid wall, meandering wall.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: All the way on that picture?

MR. PATANJO: Yes, absolutely. Right there.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Any discussion with the DEC to incorporate some of the existing wall and materials as base materials?

Occasionally they have allowed that.

MR. PATANJO: What I would like to do is take some of that and use that behind the wall so it's not visible. Just added protection.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Right. Added protection and you won't see it. In the past there are several projects the DEC allowed some specific terms behind or as toe material.

MR. PATANJO: Correct. All the applicants don't want it there. It's ugly, it ruins the beach. We are trying to recreate the beach and also protect the property.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Anybody on the Board have any questions?

MR. PATANJO: And it also satisfies the LWRP with regard to a walking pathway for public access

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: I guess we'll do a tabling or holding pattern. It sounds good.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Is there anybody else here that wishes to speak to this application?

(No response).

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: I think it's definitely an improvement over the original plan.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Sounds good.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: At this point I'll make a motion to table the the application with today's submission of the plans, after the seven days, according to Chapter 275, I'll make a motion to table this to properly review the plans.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Number eight, Jeffrey Patanjo on behalf of **THOMAS & CARYN MAZZARELLI** request a Wetland Permit to remove storm damaged dock; in same location as existing construct a proposed 4'x35' fixed dock supported with 8" diameter piles using untreated decking; install a 30"x14' aluminum ramp; install a 6'x20' floating dock using untreated decking and supported with four (4) 10" diameter piles with cross braces to keep the float a minimum of 30" off sand bottom at all times. Located: 650 Oak Avenue, Southold. SCTM# 1000-77-1-2

The LWRP coordinator found this to be inconsistent. A permit #1121 for the dock was issued in 1976. The deck that appears to be included as part of the dock never received a permit. The total distance of the dock is unknown when considering the deck. The application does not correctly show the dock line with the proposed vessel or discuss the one-third



rule. Water depths are shallow in the area and may not be conducive to operation of vessels capable of disturbing bottom sediments and increase turbidity. He finishes it up with the applicant enjoys access to public waters via existing dock. The seaward extension of the dock results in a net decrease or impedance of public access to underwater lands.

The Conservation Advisory Council resolved to support the application with through-flow decking on fixed dock.

The Trustees visited this site on the 11th, noted that they would like to remove seaward extension of deck and replace with elevated catwalk. The dock can be five foot further than existing dock, and ten-foot non-turf buffer similar to the neighbors, would be a good idea.

Is there anyone here that wishes to speak regarding this application?

MR. PATANJO: Jeff Patanjo, on behalf of the applicant. We have no problem with the ten-foot non-turf buffer. We have no problem with the proposed through-flow decking on the proposed dock. And as far as projection out, I didn't add it so far, but I can make a revision to the plan and add the distance away from the dock to the adjacent shoreline. And I can provide a plan for you showing that this dock does not project further out into the bay Goose Creek, I should say, as the other adjacent docks in the line of projection. You may not have seen the stakes out there. I presume you didn't see the stakes? I did stake it. It must have been high tide.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: We measured. It was substantial water there.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: It's actually, it's unusual for that creek because some of us, we were there regularly.

MR. PATANJO: Right. Because I had the water depth survey done and I could only go out this far and I didn't have sufficient water. That's why we have the four piles. But while I was out there, I was almost filling my waders, and there is two feet of mud out there, so it doesn't help.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: It does appear to be plenty of water there. And in terms of the one-third rule, it doesn't appear to be an issue because it's a large area, as we observed from our inspections.

So you have, can you go back to the other picture, please? So that, just to clarify, the area where that board starts, can we, we can remove that and turn that back into elevated catwalk like normal practice?

MR. PATANJO: That's I believe solid fill. I didn't research it.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: A lot of it is gone already. There is some water underneath. I forget who it was. One of us saw that. It is a little bit of fill.

MR. PATANJO: No problem. We'll, we can rebuild the structure anyway, so we'll rebuild that section.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: And then continue it all the way back toward --

MR. PATANJO: Well, do you want to go, you see the straight bulkhead projection line, we can do the bulkhead straight and then take off the section that extends beyond that, I guess it's

a six or seven-foot wide deck area behind there? So from, if we take this line and go straight up. This section starting here, out to here we'll make open, as all here.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Are you open minded to making the pier go all the way back to the landward edge of that deck?

MR. PATANJO: I don't know how that would help us, just for the mere fact now where is the bulkhead getting returned? Right now the bulkhead goes beyond to the far side of that and returns. I mean, I guess, it probably doesn't matter because there is no bulkhead on the other side. You are talking a couple of feet.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: I poked my head under it. It's in disrepair, generally, throughout that area. It's probably easier to just cut the return where I'm standing, in other words on that side, and then run the catwalk all the way up.

MR. PATANJO: You are saying cut it here.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Yes.

MR. PATANJO: And do the bulkhead return along here and the rest is here. Yes, I'm fine with that.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: We'd actually restoring some 150, 200-square feet of good, vegetated, tidal wetland on that.

MR. PATANJO: And that section, can we maintain that same width of the five feet in lieu of the four feet which is proposed?

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: I personally would like to see it go to four on that.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: At last I checked they didn't have five foot. On through-flow maybe they do.

MR. PATANJO: Good question. I don't know.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: I'm not sure about five.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: I'm thinking about the homeowner and everything. They do have that pretty nice deck there already.

Is it possible we can go to four?

MR. PATANJO: Four foot. Approved.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Is there anyone else here that wishes to speak regarding this application, or questions or comments from the Board?

(Negative response).

Okay, hearing none, I make a motion to close this hearing.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: I make a motion to approve this application with the following amendments, which will bring it into consistency with the LWRP coordinator.

Number one, new plans depicting the following: Remove portion of catwalk with sideboards and replace with four-foot through-flow to match the rest of the catwalk. Have that whole section extend to land and run the return right there at the edge of it. Make and maintain a ten-foot non-turf buffer. Also on those plans depict the size of the current deck along the shoreline. And therefore bringing this application into consistency.

MR. PATANJO: Can I ask a question?

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: We are in the middle of a motion.

MR. HAGAN: Is this a question for the attorney or of the Board?

MR. PATANJO: The ten-foot non-turf buffer is not -- we'll talk about it later.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Let's talk about it later. That's my motion.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: It would just be on the section on their property.

MR. PATANJO: All right.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Mr. President, may we please have a very brief recess?

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Five-minute recess.

(After a five-minute recess, these proceedings continue as follows).

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Okay, we are back on the record. Number nine, Jeffrey Patanjo on behalf of **ARTHUR SKELSKIE & NAN MOLOFSKY** requests a Wetland Permit to remove and replace in-place existing 6' wide by 25' long deck using untreated lpe decking and install an 8' wide bench; install fill and topsoil to bring up the grade surrounding proposed deck to be level with deck surface to create an on-grade deck; remove and replace existing 4'x5' cantilevered platform in similar location using untreated lpe decking; remove and replace existing adjustable ramp with a 30"x12' aluminum ramp in similar location; and remove and replace existing 6'x20' floating dock supported with two (2) 10" diameter piles in similar location using untreated lpe decking; install one 10" diameter tie-off pile adjacent to platform; and install twelve 8" diameter piles sister to existing face piles along existing vinyl bulkheading which consists of a 50' long section, a 16' long section, and a 5' long section for a combined total of 71 linear feet of bulkheading. Located: 510 Bayberry Road, Cutchogue. SCTM# 1000-111-14-36.8

The Trustees did a field inspection on this site on July 11th and noted this was a straightforward application.

The LWRP coordinator found this to be consistent.

And the Conservation Advisory Council resolved to support this application.

Is there anyone here to speak to this application?

MR. PATANJO: Jeff Patanjo, on behalf of the applicant. Just for the record I did get a DEC permit for the project yesterday.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Any questions or comments from the Board? (Negative response).

Anyone else wish to speak to this application?

(Negative response).

Hearing no comments, I make a motion to close this hearing.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Motion to approve this application as submitted.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Second.  
TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?  
(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Next application, number ten, Michael Kimack on behalf of **BAYSHORE ROAD, LLC** requests a Wetland Permit to repair the existing 100' long concrete seawall by stabilizing two (2) vertical cracks, repairing spalled areas and pouring support footings under first concrete step; re-stone existing 42sq.ft. concrete landing; replace steel handrail with aluminum o/e; and parge concrete as needed.

Located: 3345 Bay Shore Road, Greenport. SCTM# 1000-53-6-9

This application is deemed consistent by the LWRP.

The Trustees viewed it on our inspection on the 11th and viewed it as straightforward.

The Conservation Advisory Council has voted to be in support of it.

As a, personally, I own a bulkheaded in trust for my mom's place, which is almost 80-years old. It's concrete. I have great empathy for this project. Is there anyone here who wishes to speak to this project?

MR. KIMACK: Michael Kimack on behalf of the applicant. I found that it was in good shape when I looked at it also.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Amazing.

MR. KIMACK: It is amazing. The cracks were situated at the buttress areas. They were vertical. But there was no deflection of the cracks or anything like that, nothing was really moving around. It's fairly straightforward, to be cut back, fill it in with 5,000-pound Sacrete. The spalling area at the same time can be filled. The staircase, if you look at that lower step, pour underneath it. The DEC did have a comment on that to me. They asked me since it was in a highwater mark how are you going to deal with that, and I had responded to them basically saying the form would be set at low tide and then there is less than half a yard to be poured in there going down about two foot. It would be poured on the low tide point and has four or five hours to set and the sea water would not have any effect on it. Other than that, it's pretty much it.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Can you clarify for us what the aluminum O/E means?

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Is that an abbreviation?

MR. KIMACK: Or equal. I apologize. When I do an O/E.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: I was trying to figure it out.

MR. KIMACK: I looked at it. It's steel now. And I suggested aluminum. But he might consider steel. But aluminum would be the best choice. It's an or/equal situation on that one. It certainly looked like it had to be replaced. It's an interesting house. The house had been moved at one time. It had not been situated there. It had been brought in and reset.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: It's pretty straightforward. Any questions?  
(Negative response).

Anyone else wish to speak to the application?

(Negative response).

Not seeing or hearing anyone, I make a motion to close this

hearing.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: I'll make a motion to approve this application as submitted.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Number eleven, Michael Kimack on behalf of **CATHY & ANTHONY IASELLO** request a Wetland Permit to remove existing bulkhead and construct new  $\pm 65$  linear foot long vinyl bulkhead in-place, and raise the height of the new bulkhead to match neighboring bulkheads; construct a 10' long return along easterly property line; construct a  $\pm 65'$  long retaining wall with a  $\pm 5'$  long easterly return approximately 15' landward of new bulkhead; backfill area between bulkhead and retaining wall with  $\pm 100$  cubic yards of clean sand and establish and perpetually maintain the area as a non-turf buffer area; and to remove existing beach stairs and construct a 3'x4' cantilevered platform with 3' wide steps to beach off bulkhead.

Located: 4295 Camp Mineola Road, Mattituck. SCTM# 1000-123-5-24.1

The LWRP found this to be consistent.

The Conservation Advisory Council resolved to support this application.

The Trustees conducted a field inspection on July 11th, noting it was a straightforward replacement, and for a non-turf buffer to match up with the neighbors to the west.

Is there anyone here who wishes to speak regarding this application?

MR. KIMACK: Michael Kimack on behalf of the applicant. Exactly right. When I looked at it also, the replacement was fairly straightforward in raising it about a foot equal to the two sides. And the non-turf buffer was an area built inside the retaining wall matching the other one at 15 feet and just bringing it right across, basically, at that time. So, and the stairs, rather than have the stairs come out, we were going to have a little platform, run it parallel, so it was a little closer into the bulkhead and not sticking out as much.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Would that be removable?

MR. KIMACK: No, that was straightforward wood. But when you turn it like that you can tie it into the bulkhead. It will be a lot stronger. This one seems to have weathered it pretty well. This particular. Apparently they don't take as much storms. That's been in there for a while, primarily.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Anyone else here who wishes to speak regarding this application?

(Negative response).

Any questions or comments from the Board?

(Negative response).

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: The project seems straightforward.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Hearing none, I make a motion to close this hearing.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: I make a motion to approve this application with the condition of a 15-foot non-turf buffer to match the neighbor to the west.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Number 12, Michael Kimack on behalf of **PETER & MARISA PATINELLA** request a Wetland Permit to construct additions to the existing two-story dwelling with attached garage by removing a portion of the 2nd floor roof; construct a 4.3'x16.4' addition to attached garage; construct a 3.5'x9.5' first floor addition on east side; construct a 10.9'x21.1' covered porch on landward side; construct a 3.7'x9.5' addition on westerly corner; and construct a 16.4'x13.5' second floor addition for a total new footprint of 2,125sq.ft. for the dwelling; and for the existing 24'x25.4' (609.6sq.ft.) brick patio with 3'x32' (96sq.ft.) brick walk with two "L" shaped sections (one is 4.5'x2', 95sq.ft., second is 4'x3', 12sq.ft.).

Located: 440 South Lane, East Marion. SCTM# 1000-38-6-2

The LWRP coordinator found this to be consistent, but recommended that a non-turf buffer is required.

The Conservation Advisory Council resolved to support this application.

The Trustees visited this site 7/11/18, and the one note was that we would like to see gutters to leaders to drywells.

Is there anyone here that wishes to speak regarding this application?

MR. KIMACK: Michael Kimack on behalf of the applicant. The non-turf would be basically at the top of the bank, at the staircase over there. You would be looking for about a ten-foot non-turf buffer?

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Yes

MR. KIMACK: Okay. And the gutters and leaders, I suspect also that I would imagine that the Building Department would require that, in that situation. For this one.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Could I get you to draw us a new set of plans showing --

MR. KIMACK: I can get you a new set of plans showing the non-turf buffer if you can condition it based upon the gutters and leaders in the permit, on that particular one. That would be helpful. I'll just follow it through and I'll get you a drawing showing the ten-foot non-turf buffer.

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: Okay. Is there anyone else here to speak regarding this application?

(Negative response).

Did the Board have any other questions or comments?

(Negative response).

Hearing none, I make a motion to close this hearing.

TRUSTEE GOLDSMITH: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

TRUSTEE KRUPSKI: I make a motion to approve this application with the submission of new plans depicting a ten-foot non-turf buffer and that gutters, leaders and drywells be installed.

TRUSTEE WILLIAMS: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

MR. KIMACK: Thank you, very much. Have a good evening, everybody.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: Motion to adjourn in meeting.

TRUSTEE BREDEMEYER: Second.

TRUSTEE DOMINO: All in favor?

(ALL AYES).

Respectfully submitted by,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Michael J. Domino".

Michael J. Domino, President  
Board of Trustees